



Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09 / 681,790 First Named Applicant: DeVries
Examiner: BAYAT, Bradley B. Art Unit: 3621 Status of Application: Final Rejection/Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Mark Watson (Atty for Applicant) (2) BAYAT, Bradley B. (Examiner)
(3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: 8/23/2004 Proposed Time: 1:00 (AM/PM) PM

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej.</u>	<u>1, 10, 17</u>	<u>Shear (US 6,112,181)</u> <u>Hilsenrath (US 5,962,812)</u>	[]	[]	[]
(2) _____	_____	_____	[]	[]	[]
(3) _____	_____	_____	[]	[]	[]

[] Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Applicant believes that the final Office Action of June 2, 2004 has improperly characterized the claimed invention and cited references. The Office Action incorrectly argues that comparing data to find matches is equivalent to a partial disclosure of an individual interest where that individual interest is revealed only one piece at a time. The Office Action has mischaracterized the Shear patent's "VDE System" and "value chain matching" and the Hilsenrath use of search criteria.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Mark Watson
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)